The Effect of Static Stretching in Agility and Isokinetic Force at Football Players
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ABSTRACT

Cool Down is very important for the recuperation of a football player. The objective of this research is to prove the effect of recuperation with static stretching in agility and isokinetic force at the young football players. This research has taken place between August and November 2015 with a sample of 24 football players of age 15.6±0.4 years, divided in the control group and the experimental group. At first measurements have been initial body weight 61.1±0.4 kg and body height 175.7±6.4 cm, and agility (20 m zig-zag with and without ball) and isokinetic force (peak torque flexion and extension). Both groups of the football players have completed the regular training program. The experimental group (despite the control group) during the stage of recuperation (cool down), except the running with slow pace did also carry out the experimental program which did take place through static stretching. Experimental program consisted of 17 exercises bodily static stretching which are applied in the final training session (cool-down). After the experimental phase is finished the participants did undergo the final measures. The conducted results with univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) in two tests (initial and final) have shown unimportant statistical values between the control group and the experimental one in the isokinetic force and agility. From the collected results we can conclude the recuperation with static stretching during the cool down has an unimportant statistical impact in the agility and isokinetic force of the young football players.
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Introduction

Football is a sport that is characterized by numerous and varied complex dynamic kinesiology activities that are characterized by a large number of cyclic and acyclic movements (Bjelica, Popović, & Petković, 2013; Gardasevic, Bjelica & Vasiljevic, 2016). The conditional preparation is the base to execute all the elements techno-tactical and responsible to differentiate between the high and low levels of the football players (Popović, Akpınar, Jakšić, Matić & Bjelica, 2013; Popović, Bjelica, Jakšić & Hadžić, 2014). During a football match the players carries out around 1200-1400 different moving activities from which 700-800 of those are movements with change in directions and only 11% of the total distance of these movements are executed with high intensity and important for the outcome of the match (Stolen, Chamari, Castanga & Wilsolff, 2005). All these mentioned movements are closely linked with the production of dynamic force during the flexion and extension of the knee (Newman, Tarpenning & Marino, 2004). So we can suppose that the isokinetic forces and agility are highly responsible or responsible for the taking of these actions in the football players.

Recuperation of organism is one of many important components to increase sport performance (Rey, Carlos, Luis & Joaquin, 2012; Kinugasa & Kilding, 2009; Tesitore, Meusen, Cortis & Caprinica, 2007). The final cool-down phase of the training session is important to accelerate the recovery where activities like static stretching and running with slow tempo are typical for this part. Relaxation of the body is reached by extension static (static stretching), as one of the fundamental processes that is applied for prevention, and maintenance of physical performance components "recovery of the players" (Dawson, Gow, Modra, Bishop & Stewart, 2005).

The static stretching for decades has been part of the warming up during the training but also during the competitions with the aim to raise flexibility and to prevent injuries etc. The execution of high number of movements with changing the pace and the direction of the movement, jumping, execution of technical elements, despite others is also depended on the flexibility of the locomotoric system (Gardasevic & Bjelica, 2013). A number of researches carried out in the last decade have shown that the static stretching applied during the warming up has had an impact in lowering the performances in jumping, speed and agility (Behm, Chaouachi, Lau & Wong, 2011; Gelren, 2010). The research that was carried out suggests that during the warming up should be applied exercises of dynamic flexibility combined with the static stretching exercises with controlled movements and a fully optimal amplitude (ROM) which are more effective in developing the flexibility and improvement of the explosive force of sprint (Andersen, 2005).
All types of stretching are effective in growing the movement amplitude (Walker, 2006). Stretching after exercise is commended as a preventative measure for delayed onset muscle soreness and improved range on motion through dispersion of edema or tension reduction of the musculotendous unit (Montgomery et al., 2008). A research carried out with 26 football coaches of Mauritius Football Association (MFA), 76% of those think that stretching should be exercised three times a week during the “getting ready” period and 2 times during the week while in competition, especially from the regular sessions with duration of 0.6 to 1.3 hours a week (Kelly, Fawzi & Rajiv, 2012).

The aim of this research was to prove the impact of the static stretching in the exercises which are applied during the phase of recuperation (cool down) in the agility and isokinetic force of the young football players under the age of 17. Agility in the football game is a form of exercise where a person provides running to change direction with and without ball (Popovic et al., 2014), and Isokinetics is a form of exercise where a person provides a maximum muscle contraction against a resistance or lever arm, (isokinetic dynamometer) at a fixed speed through a given range of motion. This type of muscle action can be done either concentrically or eccentrically at the given joint. Peak Torque is the greatest amount of force produced by a muscle (Kowalski, 2003). This can be determined within each repetition or the entire set. Peak Torque indicates the muscles maximum capability of developing force. This is also equivalent to a 1-repetition maximum isotonic strength test. Peak torque is an absolute value (Kowalski, 2003). Static Stretch The technique of lengthening a muscle group by slowly moving a joint to its maximal range of motion and maintaining the position for a period of time (Guissard & Duchateau, 2004).

Methods

In order to carry out this research, first of all the whole sample has carried out the medical check up at the medical sport centre in Prishtina and it has been proved that all the football players are healthy to participate in football, and in accordance with the Helsinki declaration, all the participants have been informed with the aim of the testing procedures and the experimental treatment.

Participants

In this study have participated twenty four young football players under the age of 17, part of the football school of the Ramiz Sadiku Club from Prishtina which were under direction of the Ramiz Sadiku Club in Prishtina. Protocol of control group general warm up 5-7 min, specific warm up 10-15 min, the main part 35-45 min, cool down 10 min recovery by running). Protocol of experimental group general warm up 5-7 min, specific warm up 10-15 min, the main part 35-45 min, cool down 10 min recovery with running and 15 min static stretching. The experimental group (compared to the control group) except the regular training, did undergo the experimental program (recuperation with static stretching) which has taken place during the cooling down phase. The experimental program was planned from the research author based on the recommendations of the authors of this field (Walker, 2006) and did involve 17 stretching exercises–static stretching; upper body flexibility exercises (Neck stretch, Upper Back, Chest and Back, Shoulder and mid-upper Back, Shoulder and triceps, Lateral flexion right-left) and lower body flexibility exercises (Hamstring Two Leg Stretch, Achilles and Back Stretch, Quadriceps Stretch, Hamstring and Groin Stretch, Standing Groin Stretch, Groin Stretch, Chest Stretch, Sitting Hamstring Stretch, Lower Back Stretch, Two Legs Seat Hamstring Stretch, Achilles Tendon Stretch). Every exercise has been completed within the duration of 20 seconds.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 21.0). Mean and Standard Deviation (SD) were calculated for both groups in initial and final measurement for anthropometric (body height and body weight), agility performance (zig zag 20m with and without ball), isokinetic force (peak torque flexion and extension). With univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) were calculated differences between arithmetic means of control and experimental group before and after experimental programme (static stretching). The level of significant is p<0.05.

Results

The descriptive parameters are displayed in Table 1. for both groups in initial measures.
The results of the anthropometric measures in the Table 1. show that with the univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) based on the coefficient F-relations and the value of the statistical significane p-value have been proved that there are unimportant statistical differences between the control group and the experimental one, which does prove the homogeneity of the groups in the initial measures of the main anthropometric parameters (body weight and height) and motoric performance; agility test (20 m zig-zag with and without ball) and isokinetic force (peak torque flexion and extension) at the football players under the age of 17.

**Table 1.** The variables data of anthropometric (body height and body weight) and motoric performance (agility and isokinetic force) of the control and experimental group at the initial measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>control group (M±SD)</th>
<th>experimental group (M±SD)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>15.6±0.4</td>
<td>15.9±0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>61.1±10.2</td>
<td>62.7±7.6</td>
<td>.181</td>
<td>.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>175.7±6.4</td>
<td>176.7±6.7</td>
<td>.141</td>
<td>.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 m zig-zag without ball</td>
<td>6.47±0.3</td>
<td>6.50±0.4</td>
<td>.028</td>
<td>.868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 m zig-zag with ball</td>
<td>7.99±0.6</td>
<td>7.97±0.4</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak torque flexion</td>
<td>76.66±28.8</td>
<td>82.80±20.1</td>
<td>.364</td>
<td>.552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak torque extension</td>
<td>109.30±30.1</td>
<td>99.97±20.2</td>
<td>.281</td>
<td>.601</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of the agility and isokinetic force performances have been shown in the Table 2. and show that with univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) based on the coefficient F-relations and the value of the statistical significane p-value have been proved unimportant statistical differences in the final testings anthropometric parameters (body weight and height), motoric performance; agility test (20 m zig-zag with and without ball) and isokinetic force (peak torque flexion and extension) at the football players under the age of 17.

**Table 2.** The significance of differences between arithmetic means of variables data of anthropometric (body height and body weight) and motoric performance (agility and isokinetic force) of the control and experimental group at the final measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>control group (M±SD)</th>
<th>experimental group (M±SD)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>15.9±0.4</td>
<td>16.2±0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>62.2±8.8</td>
<td>64.0±8.0</td>
<td>.288</td>
<td>.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>177.1±6.5</td>
<td>177.6±6.7</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 m zig-zag without ball</td>
<td>6.23±0.3</td>
<td>6.16±0.3</td>
<td>.324</td>
<td>.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 m zig-zag with ball</td>
<td>7.73±0.5</td>
<td>7.73±0.4</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak torque flexion</td>
<td>115.41±29.9</td>
<td>130.98±29.8</td>
<td>1.628</td>
<td>.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak torque extension</td>
<td>120.27±30.3</td>
<td>126.48±26.9</td>
<td>.790</td>
<td>.384</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results according to the univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) have shown that the static stretching exercises applied at the end of the training session “cool down” have had no important impact in the agility and isokinetic force of the football players under the age of 17. Details of results of the tests for agility and isokinetic force (peak torque flexion and extension) do reflect statistically unimportant differences among the control and experimental group at initial and final tests, thus suggesting that static stretching exercises during the cool down have no significant effect on motoric performance (agility and isokinetic force) of the football players under the age of 17.

**Discussion**

In this research it has been proved that the static stretching exercises applied 3 times a week during the cooling down period, in a duration of 16 weeks did not have any important statistical effect in the testing of the agility and isokinetic force at the football players U17. We can conclude that the static stretching exercise applied in the end of the training sessions (cool down) do not have any impact in the agility and isokinetic force of the young football players. So at the young football...
players we can recommend the application of the static stretching in the end of the training session 2-3 times a week or also in the special training sessions with the objective to raise the optimal flexibility of the body as one of the pre conditions to execute the speed movements, agility, coordination, explosive force and the execution of the technical elements of the football match. These results can be used to fill in the existing knowledge of the impact of the static stretching in the cool down at the young football players in the performances of the agility and isokinetic force and to rationalise the processes when it comes to the plan and program content of the training sessions. Furthermore the results of this study can be used as an incentive to research the impact of the static stretching in the cool down and other characteristics of the anthropologic status (morphologic characteristics, other motoric performances, functional, psychological, rehabilitation, recuperation, prevention of injuries, demonstration of the technical elements).
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